

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,696	05/05/2006	Stephen D. Horton	1200326N US	4938
35227 POLYONE CO	7590 05/29/200 DRPORATION	8	EXAM	UNER
33587 WALKER ROAD			THOMAS, JAISON P	
AVON LAKE	, OH 44012		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/595,696	HORTON, STEPHEN D	).		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jaison P Thomas	1796			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>05 May 2006</u> .
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in conditio	n for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is

## Disposition of Claims

4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

OF The second section is able to the body of the Committee

# Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

	Notice of References Cited (F10-692)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔯	Information Biochesine Chalescatio (FTR/CE/re)

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

4) M N-11-- -4 D-4----- Cit-4 (DTO 000) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/2006

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/595,696

which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1796

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 4,5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

Claims 4,5 and 7-10 are multiple dependent claims. For purposes of examination, each claim will be considered to be dependent on Claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,696

Art Unit: 1796

 Claims 1-6 and 8-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nakamura et al. (US Patent 5403873).

Nakamura et al. (US Patent 5403873) teaches a composition comprising a conductive plastisol for use in a molded article wherein a non conductive polymer particle is coated with a conductive polymer (Abstract). The non conductive polymers can include polymethylmethacrylate and polyvinyl chloride (Col. 2, lines 6-7) and the conductive polymer can include polyaniline (Col. 2, line 50) and graphite (Col. 3, line 8).

Nakamura is relied upon as disclosed above. However, Nakamura does not teach the use of a lignosulfonic acid doped polyaniline polymer in the composition as required by Claim 6.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed doped polyaniline since such polyanilines are notoriously well known in the art and Applicant has not established the criticality of the selection of this particular type of conductive polymer.

 Claims 1,2,5-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kulkarni et al. (US Patent 5217649).

Kulkarni et al. (US Patent 5217649) is a blend composition comprised of an intrinsically conductive polymer blended with a thermoplastic polymer and a plasticizer (Abstract). The composition can be used for shielding and electrostatic dissipation

Application/Control Number: 10/595,696

Art Unit: 1796

applications (Col. 4, line 26) which Examiner construes as being a coating embodiment. The thermoplastic polymer can include polyvinyl chloride (Col. 4, line 33) and the conductive polymer can include doped polyaniline which can be doped with organic sulfonic acids (Col 4, line 31).

Kulkarni is relied upon as disclosed above. However, Kulkarni does not teach a lignosulfonic acid doped polyanilinne as required by Claim 6.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed doped polyaniline since such polyanilines are notoriously well known in the art and Applicant has not established the criticality of the selection of this particular type of conductive polymer.

## Conclusion

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,696 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. P. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796